

PART 1: COMMENTS

1. Crookes and Crosspool Ward

- We support the proposed integration of former Sheffield Central polling districts into neighbouring polling districts.
- We offer qualified support for the use of St Vincent's Church as a polling station. While this is preferable to the Cobden View Hotel and Western Park Museum, many voters in the polling district would have to travel up a steep hill. We suggest that the University of Sheffield Management School building at the junction of Crookesmoor and Conduit Roads might be evaluated as an alternative polling station.
- We object to the retention of arbitrary divisions of roads between polling districts and propose alternatives in Table 1.
- We are concerned that the boundaries between HE and its neighbouring polling districts do not follow natural boundaries. We feel the proposed changes in Table 2 would better suit conditions on the ground and therefore be more convenient for electors.

2. Dore and Totley Ward

- We support the proposed integration of the former Sheffield Heeley polling district into the neighbouring polling district.
- I offer qualified support to the creation of a new JC polling district with its polling station at the Church of the English Martyrs. A west/east division between the lower and upper ends of Totley might be more convenient for voters, if appropriate polling stations could be identified at either end, but otherwise the proposed arrangements are acceptable.
- I support the proposed transfer of 2A-6 Baslow Road into the new JC polling district.
- I am strongly opposed to the proposed inclusion of West View Lane in JB polling district, which would result in severe inconvenience for electors. There is no direct road route to the polling station, which is up a steep hill. This should be included in JA polling district.
- The boundary between JA and JD polling districts does not follow an obvious natural division. I propose the amendments listed in Table 3.

- While the shared use of Bents Green Methodist for JE and LC makes sense for Ringinglow Road, I suggest that electors in Parkhead may find it more convenient to vote at Whirlow Spirituality Centre. The boundary between JE and JD, following the Limb Brook, does not manifest clearly on the ground within Ringinglow village – I would suggest Moor Cottage on Sheephill Road should be included in JE with the rest of the village, and possibly Sheephill Road in its entirety.

3. Ecclesall Ward

- I oppose the proposed retention of part of Hastings Road and Hastings Grange within LD polling district, which represents the former parliamentary boundary but does not follow an obvious natural boundary. These roads should be included in LF, as Millhouses Methodist is a much more convenient polling station.
- There are several boundaries which arbitrarily divide roads. I suggest amendments in Table 4.

4. Fulwood Ward

- I support the proposed integration of former Sheffield Central polling districts into neighbouring polling districts.
- There are several boundaries which arbitrarily divide roads. I suggest amendments in Table 5.

5. Stannington Ward

- I strongly support the creation of XK polling district with a polling station shared with XH at Hall Park Head. I propose a slight amendment to the boundary in Table 7.
- I have serious concerns about how the XE and XH polling districts are drawn, requiring voters in Malin Bridge to travel uphill and past the XH polling station to access the XE polling station. I propose two options: either to transfer the southern part of Malin Bridge to XH, or to redraw the polling districts are redrawn on an east/west basis with one voting at either Deer Park or Stanwood, and the other voting at a new polling station of Wood Lane Countryside Centre. The proposed division is outlined in Table 6.
- There are several boundaries which arbitrarily divide roads and post codes. I suggest amendments in Table 7.

PART 2: ACCOMPANYING TABLES

Table 1. (To accompany Crookes and Crosspool comments)

Street	SCC Proposal	Our Proposal	Comments
Marsh Lane (part)	Retain in New HC	Move to HD	The division of Marsh Lane is unnecessary given the HC and HD polling stations are equidistant.
Cardoness Road (part) Barholm Road (part) Dransfield Road (part)	Retain in HD	Move to HH	These three roads are divided along a footpath, which makes less sense on the ground than it does on a map. Given the roads are roughly equidistant between HD and HH, including them undivided in HH would be preferable.
Ringstead Avenue (part)	Split between HD/HI	Move all to HI	The current proposal splits this road, with odd numbers 1-15 placed in HD while the rest is moved to HI. It would make more sense to include the entire street in HI.
St Francis Close (all) Sandygate Drive (all)	Retain in HI	Move to HH	Residents have to pass the HH polling station on route to the HI polling station; we propose to transfer them to HH for convenience.
Sandygate Road, odds 381 to 449 Pitchford Lane, number 1 and Wood Leigh	Retain in HH	Move to HI	This stretch of Sandygate Road is split between HH and HI, even though they are roughly equidistant from the polling stations. It seems preferable to include both sides, plus Pitchford Lane, in HI.
Watt Lane, S10 5RE Watt Lane, S10 5RD Darwin Lane, S10 5RG (part) Tetney Road, S10 3GZ (part)	Split between New HC and HH	Change split	It is proposed for one stretch of Watt Lane (evens 82-110) to be transferred to HH, the other streets remaining in New HC. On the basis that 82-110 Watt Lane vote more conveniently at HH, we further propose that Watt Lane (odds 27 and 161-201) and the adjacent stretches of Darwin Lane (odds 85-107, evens 82-110) and Tetney Road (odds 1-31) should also be transferred.

Street	SCC Proposal	Our Proposal	Comments
School Road, S10 1GY (evens 182-222)	Retain in HA	Move to New HB	Splitting School Road is unnecessary when the polling stations are roughly equidistant: we propose to transfer these properties to the New HB.
Spring Hill, S10 1ET (all odds)	Move to New HB	Move to HA	Spring Hill was previously split between wards; the division makes no sense on the ground and all residents would vote more conveniently at HA.
Cross Lane (S10 1WL)	Retain in New HC	Move to HE	This division of Cross Lane does not make sense, as the properties are all closer to HE polling place.
Crookes, S10 1TE (odds 187-259)	New HG	Move to HE	The street of Crookes is proposed to be divided between three polling districts. This is unnecessarily confusing – all properties are closer to HE than either New HG or HF polling stations. It makes more sense to divide the polling districts at the junction of Northfield Road and Crookes, incorporating these parts of Crookes into HE.
Crookes, S10 1TG (evens 198-214)	New HG		
Crookes, S10 1TH (evens 216-236)	New HG		
Crookes S10 1TH (evens 238-252)	HF		
Springvale Road, odds 439-475	New HG	Move to HF	Springvale Road is proposed to be split between three polling districts. We propose a simpler division: both sides of Springvale Road above Cobden View Road would vote at HF, while both sides below Cobden View Road would vote at HA.
Springvale Road, odds 205-357	HF	Move to HA	
Springvale Road, odds 365-377	HA	Retain in HA	
Springvale Road, evens 230-400	HF	Move to HA	
Springvale Road, evens 406-432	HF	Retain in HF	
Manchester Road, S10 5PN (evens 472-478)	Retain in New HC	Move to HD	The proposed split of this post code between two polling stations is entirely unnecessary – both polling stations are equidistant and located opposite the properties concerned. It seems most convenient to group these together in HD. Splitting the post code confuses computer lookups.
Manchester Road, S10 5PN (evens 480-484)	Move to New HC	Retain in HD	
Manchester Road, S10 5PN (evens 486-504)	Retain in HD	Retain in HD	

Table 2. (To accompany Crookes and Crosspool comments)

Street	SCC Proposal	Our proposal
Coombe Road	HE	HB
Coombe Place	HE	HB
Churchill Road	HE	HB
Weston View	HE	HB
Blakeney Road	HE	HB
Crookes (part – evens 68-96)	HE	Retain in HE or move to HB.
Stannington View Road	HG	Move to HE
Midfield Road	HG	Move to HE
Mulehouse Road	HG	Move to HE
Chichester Road	HG	Move to HE
Colchester Road	HG	Move to HE
Lydgate Lane (evens 12-80)	HE	Retain in HE
Lydgate Lane (evens 104-214)	HC	Move to HE
Lydgate Court (all properties)	HC	Move to HE
Newent Lane (part)	HG	Move to HC
Bosworth Street	HG	Move to HC
Marston Road	HG	Move to HC
Toyne Street	HG	Move to HC
Newbury Road	HG	Move to HC

Table 3. (To accompany Dore and Totley comments)

Street	SCC Proposal	Our proposal
Cavendish Avenue (part)	JA	JD
Victor Road (part)	JA	JD
Ashfurlong Road (part)	JA	JD
Ashfurlong Park	JA	JD
Ashfurlong Drive	JA	JD
Ashfurlong Close	JA	JD
Devonshire Road (part)	JD	JA
Bushey Wood Road (part)	JD	JA
Totley Brook Road (part)	JD	JA
Furniss Avenue (part)	JD	JA
Old Hay Lane (part)	JC	JD

Table 4. (To accompany Ecclesall comments)

Street	SCC Proposal	Our Proposal	Comments
Hastings Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S7 2HJ, all properties 	Retain in LD	Move to LF	Part of Hastings Road and all of Hastings Grange were previously in a different WPC to the rest of Hastings Road. This division is now arbitrary and all residents would vote more conveniently at Millhouses Methodist.
Hastings Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S7 2GU, even numbers 2-24 	Retain in LD	Move to LF	
Hastings Grange <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S7 2GU, even numbers 56-62 	Retain in LF	Retain in LF	
Ecclesall Road South, S11 9PB <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S11 9PB, odd numbers 27-55 	Retain in LD	Move to LB	This stretch of Ecclesall Road South was split, as these properties were previously in a different WPC. They are significantly closer to Banner Cross Methodist Church and should be transferred to LB polling district.
Dobbin Hill <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S11 7JE, odd numbers 79 and 81 	Retain in LB	Move to LE	These two properties are mapped in LB polling district, which offers no meaningful convenience over voting in LE with the rest of Dobbin Hill.
Greystones Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S11 7BJ, odd numbers 15-21 	Retain in LB	Move to LE	Similarly, these properties are divided from the rest of Greystones Road in a way that inconveniences the residents (they are closer to St Gabriels). This division should be moved to LE.
S11 9SQ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Marsh House Road, numbers 51-85 and 60-92 	Retain in LC	Move all to either LA or LC	This division of Marsh House Road is arbitrary: the road is roughly equidistant between two polling stations and division offers no significant advantages. Marsh House Road and Hooper Avenue should be included undivided in either LA or LC.
S11 9SG <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hooper Avenue, all properties Marsh House Road, numbers 2-58 and 1-49 	Retain in LA		
Ecclesall Road South <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S11 9PS Even numbers 270-286 	Retain in LC	Move to LG	We believe it offers no meaningful convenience to split this post code sector so a short stretch of Ecclesall Road votes

Street	SCC Proposal	Our Proposal	Comments
Ecclesall Road South <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S11 9PS, even numbers 260-266 	Retain in LG	Retain in LG	separately at Bents Green. It should be included in LG with neighbouring stretches.
Ecclesall Road South, even numbers 72-82	Retain in LA	Move to LG	This division of Ecclesall Road South is similarly unnecessary. It would offer no significant inconvenience for these voters to vote at Ecclesall Library with neighbouring stretches.
Springfield Road, numbers 110-200 and 79-193 Springfield Close, all properties	Retain in LG	Move to LF	We believe it offers no meaningful convenience to split Springfield Road and the adjacent Springfield Glen. The residents of this stretch would vote as conveniently at Millhouses Methodist.

Table 5. (To accompany Fulwood comments)

Properties	SCC Proposal	Our proposal	Comments
Manchester Road, S10 5AF: Low Coppice Barn, Low Coppice House, Low Coppice Farm	Retain in NF	Move to NG	The division of Manchester Road is entirely artificial. It is significantly easier for these residents to access the NG polling place than NF – they currently have to drive past Blackbrook Road on the way to Westminster.
Hallamshire Road, S10 4FN: odds 73-87	Retain in NF	Move to NA	The division of Hallamshire Road and the S10 4FN postcode is arbitrary. These properties are equidistant between NF and NA polling stations. It is preferable to include them in NA with the rest of Hallamshire Road.
Hallam Grange Close, all properties	Retain in NF	Move to NA	This street is a cul-de-sac off Slayleigh Lane, which is in NA polling district. . It is closer to the NA than to NF polling place.
Stumperlowe Lane, S10 3QQ: Stumperlowe Mansions, Broom Lawn Stumperlowe Hall Road, S10 3QS: numbers 37, 39, Appleby House, Stumperlowe House, Stumperlowe Grange Stumperlowe Hall Road, S10 3QR: odds 1-17, The Barn	Retain in ND	Move to NA	This road is split between NA and ND polling places, but all properties are closer to the NA polling station. It would be more convenient to move these split properties into NA.
Graham Road: odds 183-211 Riverdale Avenue: all Oakbrook Road (part): evens 4-216 Cruise Road, all properties	Retain in NE	Move to ND	These roads are closer to New ND than New NE.

Properties	SCC Proposal	Our proposal	Comments
Hangingwater Rd: evens 4-34, odds 43-65 Hangingwater Close, all properties			
Storth Lane, numbers 78-80	Retain in NE	Move to ND	These two properties face onto Storth Lane and should vote with the rest of the street.

Table 6. (To accompany Stannington Ward)

Road	Option 1	Option 2
Malin Road	Vote at new polling place	Move to XH
Stannington Road (part)	Vote at new polling place	Move to XH
Low Road	Vote at new polling place	Move to XH
Middle Road	Vote at new polling place	Move to XH
Ivanhoe Road	Vote at new polling place	Move to XH
Barlow Road	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Butler Road	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Carlby Road	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Woodend Drive	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Wood Lane (part)	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Chapman Close	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Barlow Drive	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE
Myers Grove Lane (part)	Vote at new polling place	Retain in XE

Table 7. (To accompany Stannington Ward)

Properties	SCC Proposal	Our proposal	Comments
Odd numbers 5-47 of Rivelin Park Road	Remain in XE	Move to XH	There is no natural reason to split Rivelin Park Road. These properties are closer to XH polling place than XE. It makes most sense to include the entire road in XH.
Uppergate Road, evens 46-48 Uppergate Road, odds 21-29 Bankfield Lane, odd number 27	Remain in XF	Move to XG	There is no natural reason to split Uppergate Road and Bankfield Lane. Both XF and XG polling places are equidistant. It makes most sense to include all of these roads in XG.
Parkside Lane, Little Parkside Farm and Parkside Farm	Remain in XG	Move to XK	These properties are significantly closer to the XK polling place, and should be included in the new XK polling district.
Oldfield Road, evens 98-154 Oldfield Road, odds 73-137 Oldfield Terrace, all properties Oldfield Close, all properties	Remain in XG	Move to XK	These properties are equidistant between XG and XK polling places. On balance, we consider the route downhill to the XK polling place to be more convenient for voters.
Stannington Road, odds 409-417	Remain in XC	Move to XH	This small stretch of Stannington Road is an unnatural split. It is roughly equidistant between XC and XH polling places, but on balance the route downhill to the XH polling place seems more convenient.
High Bradfield <ul style="list-style-type: none"> S6 6LJ: Edgemount Barn, Edgemount House, Edgemount Farm, Edgemount Heights, Prospect Farm, Parkside 	Remain in XJ	Move to XA	The postcode sector S6 6LJ is split, with these six properties included in XJ polling district. They are marginally close to the XA polling place. Given their address and postcode, it would be more logical to include these properties in XA.

Properties	SCC Proposal	Our proposal	Comments
High Bradfield, S6 6LJ: Spite Winter Farm, Spite Winter Cottage, Spite Winter House	Remain in XD	Move to XA or XJ	As with STA06, these properties are in High Bradfield / S6 6LJ but vote at Loxely. Given these properties are identified as High Bradfield it would be more convenient for these properties to vote at the XA polling place or, if STA06 is rejected, to vote at the XJ polling place.
Stubbing Lane, S35 0AP: Haighenfield Farm, Stubbing House, Stubbing Cottage	Remain in XD	Move to XJ	These properties share are closer to the XJ polling place and would more naturally identify with it, having a Worrall postcode.
Worrall Road, S35 0AU: evens 454-460	Remain in XI	Move to XJ	These properties are equidistant between the XI and XJ polling places. On balance, given the direct route to XJ and the fact they are identified with a Worrall postcode, it would make more sense to move these to XJ.
Loadbrook, S6 6GT: Wadefield, Wadefield Barn, Beacon Rod Farm, The Caravan at Beacon Farm	Remain in XG	Move to XB	The current division of the hamlet of Loadbrook between XG and XB polling districts makes little sense. These properties should vote at XB, which is the same distance as the XG polling place.
Ughill, S6 6HU: Crawshaw Farm	Remain in XF	Move to XB	This property is significantly closer to XB polling place than XF (roughly 1.6 miles vs nearly 3 miles).
Rowell Lane, S6 6SH: numbers 1-6, Croft House	Remain in XF	Move to XB	These properties naturally look towards Loxley, but the parish ward boundary means they cannot easily be incorporated into XD. Voting at XB may be more convenient than the present arrangement of voting at XF.